

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/505,281 | 02/16/2000 | Toshihisa Kuroiwa | 105403 | 5700 | |
| 25944 | 7590 10/18/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC | | | AGGARWAL, YOGESH K | | |
| P.O. BOX 199 ALEXANDRI | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | 2615 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/18/200 | DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| · | _ | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 09/505,281 | KUROIWA, TOSHIHISA | | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Yogesh K. Aggarwal | 2615 | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Properiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONED | N. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1)[\implies] | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ju | ılv 2005 | | | | | |
| | | action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3)□ | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-13 and 19-24</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-12 and 19-23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) 13 and 24 is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8)[| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | · | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) | 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachmen | ` ' | | | | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary (| | | | | |
| 3) 🔲 Inform | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | te atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/505,281

Art Unit: 2615

Election/Restrictions

Page 2

1. Applicant's election with traverse of species 7 (claims 13 and 24) is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the subject matter of all species is sufficiently related that a thorough search for the subject matter of any one species would encompass a search for the subject matter of the remaining species and also that all species can be practiced at the same time. This is not found persuasive because the non-elected species contain features, which would not be included in a class/subclass search or text search for the elected species.

However, the applicant is reminded that upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 13 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson (US Patent # 5,933,137) in view of Ito et al. (JP Patent # 02304486A).

 [Claim 13]

Art Unit: 2615

Anderson teaches an electronic camera (110) comprising an image capturing device (114) that captures an image of a subject and generates image data, and a recording device (354) that records the image data generated by the image-capturing device in combination with photographic information, which is at least one type of information related to photographing such as a photographing date in a removable memory (see sections 0032, lines 4-8, 0053 and 0067, lines 1-17). Anderson further teaches during an image-capturing stage in the electronic camera, processing time elapsing from image-capturing through recording is reduced by implementing in parallel the image compression performed by the compression conversion device and recording of the compressed data in a removable memory (354) by the recording device (see figure 5; as the capturing of images is continued successively, compression performed by device (618) is performed in parallel with recording by removable memory (354); column 9, line 39 – column 10, line 49 of 137).

Anderson fails to teach processing time gets reduced by implementing in parallel the image compression on the image data performed by the compression conversion device and recording of the compressed data of the same image data performed by the recording device. However Ito et al. teaches compressing image data and recording image data in an image information storing medium by processing for storing the image data in the image information storage device and processing the image data compression in parallel based upon blocking of a code memory in order to shorten the overall time for compressing and to store the data at a high speed (Abstract).

Therefore taking the combined teachings of Anderson and Ito, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have been motivated to have reduced the

Art Unit: 2615

processing time elapsing from image-capturing through recording in the system of Anderson by implementing in parallel the image compression on the image data performed by the compression conversion device and recording of the compressed data of the same image data performed by the recording device as taught in Ito in order to shorten the overall time for compressing and to store the data at a high speed (Abstract).

[Claim 24]

Ito teaches splitting the code memory 2 in a prescribed number of blocks and a page memory for restoring the output from the code memory 2 and storing only one page of information and also having the area splitted in the same number of blocks, and the splitted block data and the block in processing are controlled. Thus, the time for compressing the image data can be shortened by compressing only a part of the image corresponding to a block and storing the same splitted image data.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/505,281

Art Unit: 2615

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

Page 5

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Yogesh K. Aggarwal whose telephone number is (571) 272-7360.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00AM-5:30PM.

5. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571)-272-7593. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YKA

October 11, 2005

DAVID L. UMETZ SUPERVISORY PATENT

EXAMINER